

POLICY brief

DECEMBER 2000 NUMBER 52

TRENDS IN CORPORATE INCOME TAX RECEIPTS

Corporate income tax receipts have been an important source of tax revenue since the tax was officially created in 1909. Corporate taxes accounted for almost 12 percent of federal revenues in 1998 and 6.4 percent of state tax revenues in the same year. In Georgia, corporate income tax revenues are 6.4 percent of total net revenue in 1998—on par with the average state in the U.S. Corporate income tax revenues fall in comparison with personal income and sales tax revenues in the state. As a comparison, the Georgia personal income tax accounted for 48 percent of total net revenue in 1998 and the general sales and use tax was 36 percent of net total revenue collections.

Over the past two decades, the growth in Georgia state corporate tax receipts relative to other state revenue sources has faltered. With the exception of a slight rebound in 1993-95, the corporate tax as a percent of individual income tax receipts in Georgia declined from a high of 24.2 percent in 1985 to 14 percent in 1998. Compared to all state tax revenue, the corporate income tax has fallen from 9.5 percent in 1985 to the current level of 6.4 percent. The majority of the decline in importance as a state revenue source occurred from 1983-91. Since pulling out of the 1990-92 recession, state corporate tax revenues as a percent of total state taxes have stabilized at a rate between 6 and 7 percent. Relative to other states, Georgia's corporate income tax grew more slowly than the average state until the post-recession years.

Some might view these revenue patterns as significant—others may not be particularly concerned over these patterns. After all, the tax generates only about 6.4 percent of total revenue in the state—about one third more than the revenue loss due to the exemption of food from the sales tax base and less than the equivalent of a one cent increase in the sales tax. However, the tax is the third most important single revenue source in the state. Also, the corporate income tax does not simply play a revenue generating role. As a “tax on business” it is perceived to be a tax which is progressive in nature. In fact, whether the tax is progressive in nature or not is an open question.¹

So long as the corporate tax exists, the question of why corporate tax receipts have slowed relative to other revenue

¹In addition to its distribution and revenue roles, there are other reasons to levy a corporate income tax. These include the exportability of the tax (which lowers the tax burden of state residents) and the use of a tax as payment for services received by corporations. According to the benefits principle of taxation, taxes are payments for goods and services produced by the public sector. Businesses are consumers of roads, police protection, educational services and the like and as such businesses should pay for some part of these public goods.

sources is important. The state government needs to know whether the pattern of corporate receipts is due to natural changes in the economy or due to federal and state policies. Such information will give the state more information for forecasting receipts as well as shed some light on the impact (cost) of federal and state policies such as tax rate changes and increased exemptions.

We examined the trends in state corporate tax receipts and possible explanations for these trends. We might think of the possible explanations as falling into three categories: legal tax avoidance (tax reforms and changes in laws governing incorporation and their impacts), economic changes, and other tax policy changes (tax credits and incentives).² What we find is that the changes in the economic base of Georgia are the most likely reason that state corporate tax receipts have declined in importance. Georgia's industry concentration is growing in sectors which do not pay an increasing share of federal corporate income taxes. This is due to the growth coming in industries which report smaller increases in taxable profits.

There are some other possible reasons for the trend in corporate tax revenue in the state including:

- A change in the form of incorporation by businesses ("disincorporation"). This means that due to tax policy and law changes, business entities can by-pass the corporate tax structure by passing income through to owners, shareholders, and partners who pay tax via the personal income tax structure.
- There have been some tax law changes which may contribute to the loss in corporate tax revenue in the state. In particular, the BEST legislation of 1994 and its expansion in 2000 offer a host of tax credits to corporations in the quest for economic development. Through 1997 the usage of these credits appears small, but anecdotal evidence suggests that firms are paying more attention to the credits and their usage may be on the rise.
- There are some other specific tax policy issues which plague the corporate income tax. The use of separate accounting encourages tax planning such that companies report as few taxable profits in Georgia as possible. A tax amnesty in late 1992 may have affected the

growth of corporate tax revenues post recession and an expanded depreciation allowance in 1990-91 may have contributed to the same.

Many of the remaining questions—the extent of tax planning, the impact of differential tax rates among states, the effect of expanded credits, and the disincorporation hypothesis, can be more fully investigated with a panel of corporate taxpayers. Such analysis is necessary to quantify these potential changes so that we better understand what has happened to the corporate income tax in the state and what might happen in the future. Quantifying the costs of such avoidance behavior and the tax expenditures of the state government are important inputs in the development of a rational, long-term corporate income tax policy.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sally Wallace is Associate Professor of Economics and Associate Director of the Fiscal Research Program of the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies at Georgia State University. Dr. Wallace's main interests are domestic and international taxation and intergovernmental fiscal relations. From 1997 to 1999 she served as the Chief of Party of the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies Russia Fiscal Reform Project in the Russian Federation.

ABOUT FRP

The Fiscal Research Program is one of several prominent policy research centers and academic departments housed in the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies. The FRP, directed by Dr. David Sjoquist, provides research and technical assistance in the evaluation and design of state and local fiscal policy, including both tax and expenditure issues. These briefs are published periodically to provide an overview of important public policy issues currently facing the state. The FRP maintains a position of neutrality on public policy issues in order to safeguard the academic freedom of authors. Thus, interpretation or conclusions in FRP publications should be understood to be solely those of the author. For more information on the Fiscal Research Program, contact Jeanie Thomas at 404-651-0518.

For a free copy of the study from which this Policy Brief is drawn, or any of the other publications listed, call the Fiscal Research Program at 404/651-4342, or fax us at 404/651-2737.

The report is also available at: [//frp.aysps.gsu.edu/frp/index.html](http://frp.aysps.gsu.edu/frp/index.html)

²We do not investigate tax evasion.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

Estimates of the Effects of Education and Training on Earnings.

This report reviews literature on the effect of training on earnings and provides additional empirical evidence. (January 2001)

Impact of the 1996 Summer Olympic Games on Employment and Wages in Georgia. This report estimates the effect on employment and wages resulting from the 1996 Olympics. (December 2000)

Trends in Corporate Income Tax Receipts. The report analyzes trends in state corporate tax receipts and explanations for the decline in corporate tax revenue growth. (December 2000)

School Flexibility and Accountability. This report presents a discussion and a menu of alternatives for school flexibility and accountability. (November 2000)

Defining and Measuring High Technology in Georgia. This report defines and measures the high technology sector in Georgia. (December 2000)

State and Local Government Choices in Fiscal Redistribution. This report explores the factors that are associated with the level and nature of states' income redistribution programs. (October 2000)

Profile of Georgia State Revenues 1974 – 1999. This report provides detailed information on trends in Georgia's major revenue sources over the period 1974-1999. (October 2000)

A Decade of Budget Growth: Where Has the Money Gone? This report presents an analysis of state budget growth between fiscal years 1991 and 2000. In specific, policy decisions that drive the budget increases are highlighted. (September 2000)

International Trade in Georgia: Review of State Programs, Policies, and Recent Trends. This report provides a review of the recent trends on international trade in Georgia and reviews Georgia's policy and programs related to international trade. (July 2000)

The Effect of the Growth in Elderly Population on Georgia Tax Revenues. This report explores the implications of an aging state population on income tax and sales tax revenues. (July 2000)

Provision of An Equitable Public School Finance Structure in Georgia. This report presents options for reducing inequities in the funding of Georgia's public school districts. (February 2000)

Handbook on Taxation, 6th Edition. A quick overview of all state and local taxes in Georgia. FRP Annual Publication A(6) (January 2000)

An Analysis of Georgia's Economic Development Tax Credit Incentives. This report presents an analysis of Georgia's economic development tax credit incentives, i.e., Georgia's BEST program. (January 2000)

A Profile of Georgia's Economic Performance and Competitiveness. This report compares Georgia's recent economic performance and its economic competitiveness relative to the U.S. and surrounding states. (January 2000)

State Tax Incentives in the Southeast. This report identifies basic features of state tax incentive programs in the southeastern states. Each state synopsis is followed by a brief summary of the 1999 statutory changes. (January 2000)

Rewards for High Student Achievement and Interventions for Persistently Low Student Achievement. This report prepared for the Governor's Education Reform Study Commission Accountability Committee (GERSC) discusses issues and design of education accountability programs and a menu of options for education reform. (December 1999)

An Analysis of the Employment Impact of Georgia's Job Tax Credit. This report reviews the literature on job tax credits and presents an analysis of the decision to participate in the Georgia Job Tax Credit (JTC) program and of the effect of the JTC on employment (December 1999)

Limitations on Increases in Property Tax Assessed Value. This report describes how various states limit the growth in property tax assessment and explores the implications of such limitations. (November 1999)

Corporate Tax Credits Considered for Social Policy. An update on budget and policy issues affecting Georgia's children and families. Prepared for "Fiscal Fact" a publication of Georgians For Children. (September 1999)

Manufactured Housing in Georgia: Trends and Fiscal Implications. This report discusses the growth of manufactured housing and explores the implications for the property tax base. (September 1999).

An Analysis of Franchise Fees in Georgia. This report examines the current structure of franchise fees, identifies the associated problems, and describes options for addressing the problems. (August 1999)

For a free copy of any of the publications listed, call the Fiscal Research Program at 404/651-4342, or fax us at 404/651-2737. All reports are available on our webpage at:

[//frp.aysps.gsu.edu/frp/index.html](http://frp.aysps.gsu.edu/frp/index.html).

Fiscal Research Program

The Fiscal Research Program is one of several prominent policy research centers and academic departments housed in the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies. The FRP provides research and technical assistance in the evaluation and design of state and local fiscal policy, including both tax and expenditure issues.

These Briefs are published periodically to provide an overview of important public policy issues currently facing the state.

The FRP maintains a position of neutrality on public policy issues in order to safeguard the academic freedom of authors.

Thus, interpretation or conclusions in FRP publications should be understood to be solely those of the author.

Fiscal Research Program
Andrew Young School of Policy Studies
Georgia State University
University Plaza
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3083

Postal Number FRC2



Georgia State
University